

Decrease of Sub-synchronous Oscillations Based on the Reduced-Order Observer

Mahdi Mozaffari Legha,* Arash Abolhasani Khajeh, Peyman Dadipour

Department of Power Engineering, Institute of Higher Education Javid, Jiroft, Iran.

***Corresponding Author: Mahdi Mozaffari Legha**

Abstract: In this paper, a novel approach is proposed by using optimal state feedback, based on the reduced – order observer structure. The Linear Observer Method was adopted in this paper to study the SSR damping characteristics with TCSC. The study system was modified from the IEEE Second benchmark model by changing a part of the fixed series capacitor to TCSC. It is tried to stable torsional modes of turbine – generator units and improve their damping by using optimal linear control, with an practical viewpoint. The proposed method is applied to the IEEE Second Benchmark system for SSR studies and the results are verified based on comparison with those obtained from digital computer simulation by MATLAB.

Keywords: optimal state feedback, TCSC, SSR, MATLAB software.

Introduction

Series compensation of power transmission lines is an important way to improve power transfer capability, especially where large amounts of power must be transmitted through long transmission lines. However, when these techniques applied together with a steam turbine-generator it may lead sub-synchronous resonance (SSR) phenomena. It means that, the interaction between the electrical oscillation modes of the series compensated network and the mechanical oscillation modes of the turbine-generator group may lead to oscillating torsional torques.

The advantages of the series compensation can be guaranteed without the danger of the SSR phenomena if series FACTS (Flexible AC Transmission System) devices is used. Some techniques to mitigate SSR with series FACTS devices can be found in the literature. This technique can provide controllable series compensation and reduce or eliminate the effects of the sub synchronous resonance [1].

The series FACTS devices most analyzed and applied to mitigate SSR is the Thyristor-Controlled Series Capacitor (TCSC). Pilotto et al. [2] have shown that TCSC operating with local current control can efficiently mitigate

SSR problem. However, they have also shown that TCSC cannot damp SSR when it is operating with large firing angle (between and). Kakimoto and Phongphanphane [3] have also demonstrated that TCSC can damp SSR if its firing angle is modulated with the rotor angle variation. But in the practical environment (real world), access to all of the state variables of system is limited and measuring all of them is also impossible. So when we have fewer sensors available than the number of states or it may be undesirable, expensive, or impossible to directly measure all of the states, using a reduced-order observer is proposed. Therefore in this paper, a novel approach is introduced by using optimal state feedback, based on the reduced-order observer structure. Note that, in the simulation of this paper the IEEE Second benchmark model are used based on three cases, such as: a) fixed capacitor, b) With TCSC and c) With TCSC using reduced-order observer.

Based on comparison of these cases, it has been observed that proposed method gives good results and the peak deviations of SSO is reduced by using this method with a practical viewpoint [6-7].

Method

A TCSC consists of a capacitor in parallel with an inductor that is connected to a pair of opposite-poled thyristors. An approximate TCSC dynamic model is derived by representing the thyristor-controlled reactor (TCR) (See Fig. 1) of the TCSC as an equivalent variable inductance [4, 5]:

$$L_{TCSC} = \frac{\pi L_{TCR}}{2(\pi - \alpha) + \sin 2\alpha} \quad (1)$$

Where L_{TCR} represents the fixed inductance of the TCR branch and α is the firing angle of the TCSC.




Fig. 1: Schematic representation of TCSC

The system under study is shown in Fig. 2. This is the IEEE Second benchmark model, with a fixed series capacitor and a TCSC connected to it. This system is adopted to explain and demonstrate applications of the proposed method for investigation of the single-machine torsional oscillations. The system includes a T-G unit which is connected through a radial series compensated line to an infinite bus. The rotating mechanical system of the T-G set is composed of two turbine sections, the generator rotor and a rotating exciter. The system parameters are provided in [6].




Fig. 2: Schematic diagram of the IEEE Second Benchmark System.

Using direct, quadrature (d-q axes) and Park's transformation, the complete mathematical model that describes the dynamics of the system can be found in [7]:

$$\dot{\Delta X}_{Gen} = A_G \Delta X_{Gen} + B_{G1} \Delta U_1 + B_{G2} \Delta U_2 + B_{G3} \Delta U_3 + B_{G4} \Delta U_4 \quad (2)$$

$$\Delta y_{Gen} = C_G \Delta X_{Gen} \quad (3)$$

It is noted that C_G is an identity matrix. The following state variables and input parameters are used in (2):

$$\Delta X_{Gen}^T = [\Delta i_{fd} \quad \Delta i_d \quad \Delta i_{kd} \quad \Delta i_q \quad \Delta i_{kq}] \quad (4)$$

$$\Delta U_{Gen}^T = [\Delta V_O \quad \Delta \delta_g \quad \Delta \omega_g \quad \Delta E] \quad (5)$$

The shaft system of the T-G set is represented by four rigid masses. The linearized model of the shaft system, based on a mass-spring-damping model is:

$$\dot{\Delta X}_{Mech} = A_M \Delta X_{Mech} + B_{M1} \Delta U_{M1} + B_{M2} \Delta U_{M2} \quad (6)$$

$$\Delta y_{Mech} = C_M \Delta X_{Mech} \quad (7)$$

Where, C_M is an identity matrix. The following state variables and input parameters are used in (6):

$$\Delta X_{Mech}^T = [\Delta \delta_1 \quad \Delta \omega_1 \quad \Delta \delta_g \quad \Delta \omega_g \quad \Delta \delta_2 \quad \Delta \omega_2 \quad \Delta \delta_3 \quad \Delta \omega_3] \quad (8)$$

$$\Delta U_{Mech}^T = [\Delta T_m \quad \Delta T_e] \quad (9)$$

It is available All parameters which in use (2) - (9) at [6]. For finding mathematical model of Transmission Line, we can assume:

$$\Delta V_C = \Delta V_{XC} + \Delta V_{TCSC} \quad (10)$$

Where, ΔV_{XC} is variation of fixed series capacitor voltage. Linearized model of a TCSC compensated transmission line is:

$$\dot{\Delta X}_{TCSC} = A_{TCSC} \Delta X_{TCSC} + B_{TCSC} \Delta U_{TCSC} \quad (11)$$

The following state variables and input parameters are used in (11):

$$\Delta X_{TCSC}^T = [\Delta V_{TCSC(d)} \quad \Delta V_{TCSC(q)} \quad \Delta i_{TCR(d)} \quad \Delta i_{TCR(q)}] \quad (12)$$

$$\Delta U_{TCSC}^T = [\Delta i_d \quad \Delta i_q \quad \Delta \omega_g \quad \Delta \alpha] \quad (13)$$

The expressions of matrices A_{TCSC} and B_{TCSC} can be found in Appendix A. Mathematical model of Transmission Line without TCSC can be written:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \Delta V_{XC(d)} = \omega_0 K_{SBM} X_C \Delta i_d + \omega_0 \Delta V_{XC(q)} \quad (14)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \Delta V_{XC(q)} = \omega_0 K_{SBM} X_C \Delta i_q - \omega_0 \Delta V_{XC(d)} \quad (15)$$

Where K_{SBM} is represented by:

$$K_{SBM} = \frac{R_{L2}^2 + X_{L2}^2}{\sqrt{(R_{L1} + R_{L2})^2 + (X_{L1} + X_{L2} - X_C)^2}} \quad (16)$$

By combining (10)-(15), Linearized model of transmission line is given by:

$$\Delta \dot{X}_{Line} = A_{Line} \Delta X_{Line} + B_{Line} \Delta U_{Line} \quad (17)$$

$$\Delta X_{Line}^T = [\Delta V_{C(d)} \quad \Delta V_{C(q)} \quad \Delta i_{TCR(d)} \quad \Delta i_{TCR(q)}] \quad (18)$$

Note that, in (17), Matrix ΔU_{Line} is similar to ΔU_{TCSC} . The excitation system model is given in Fig. 3. This includes a static exciter containing an automatic voltage regulator (AVR) and a PSS. The PSS contains a washout circuit and a lead-lag block in addition to a limiter. The input to the PSS is angular velocity of generator ($\Delta \omega_g$). From Fig. 3, the exciter state space Linearized equation can be written as:

$$T_W \frac{d}{dt} \Delta X_W + \Delta X_W = T_W \frac{d}{dt} \Delta \omega_g \quad (19)$$

$$T_2 \frac{d}{dt} \Delta V_S + \Delta V_S = T_1 K_S \frac{d}{dt} \Delta X_W + K_S \Delta X_W \quad (20)$$

$$T_R \frac{d}{dt} \Delta E + \Delta E = K_R (\Delta V_{ref} + \Delta V_S - \Delta V_t) \quad (21)$$

Proposed Approach

Optimal control must be employed in order to damp out the sub synchronous oscillations resulting from the negatively damped mode. For the linear system the control signals U which minimizes the performance index:

$$J = \int [\Delta x_{Total}^T(t) Q \Delta x_{Total}(t) + \Delta u_{Total}^T R \Delta u_{Total}(t)] dt \quad (22)$$

is given by the feedback control law in terms of system states:

$$U(t) = -K \cdot \Delta x_{Total}(t) \quad (23)$$

$$K = R_{\mu}^{-1} \cdot B_{Total}^T \cdot P \quad (24)$$

Where P is the solution of Riccati equation:

$$A_{Total}^T \cdot P + P \cdot A_{Total} - P \cdot B_{Total} R_{\mu}^{-1} B_{Total}^T \cdot P + Q = 0 \quad (25)$$

In this paper to improve damping of sub synchronous oscillation (SSO) pragmatically, reduced order observer method is proposed, but to have a complete research, optimal full state feedback control is designed and the results are compared. When feedback control law is $U(t) = -K \cdot \Delta X_{Total}$, if some of the state variables in vector Δx_{Total} is not measurable, observers may be used.

A full order observer estimates all the states in a system, regardless whether they are measurable or not but when some of the state variables are measurable using a reduced-order observer is so better. By assumption $\Delta X_{Gen1} = \Delta X_{Gen1}^T \cdot \eta_{Gen1}$ and $\Delta X_{Mech1} = \Delta X_{Mech1}^T \cdot \eta_{Mech1}$ the state variables are unmeasurable, are given by:

$$\Delta X_{Obs}^T = [\Delta X_{Gen1} \quad \Delta X_{Mech1} \quad \Delta X_{Line} \quad \Delta X_{EXC}] = \Delta \hat{X}^T \quad (26)$$

The Luenberger reduced-order observer is used as a linear observer in this paper. The general diagram of control model and block diagram of this reduced-order observer is shown in Fig. 4 and Fig. 5.




Fig. 4: The block diagram of the excitation system




Fig. 5: Schematic Diagram of State Feedback Using Luenberger Reduced Order Observer

Simulation Results


Eigenvalue analysis is a fast and well-suited technique for defining behavioral trends in a system that can provide an immediate stability test. The real parts of the eigenvalue represent the damping mode of vibration, a positive value indicating instability, while the imaginary parts denote the damped natural frequency of oscillation.

As mentioned earlier, the system considered here is the IEEE Second benchmark model (IEEE-SBM). It is assumed that the fixed capacitive reactance (XC) is 77% of the reactance of the transmission line (XL1=0.48 P.u). For the nominal operating point, the TCSC firing angle is chosen such that the total series capacitive compensation is 60% of transmission line reactance.

Simulation studies of IEEE-SBM carried out on MATLAB platform is discussed here. The following cases are considered for the analysis.

- Case-1: Without TCSC (compensation only by fixed capacitor, $XC = 0.3696$ using linear optimal controller).
- Case-2: With TCSC ($XC=0.0816$, $XTCS=0.288$ without linear observer).
- Case-3: With TCSC ($XC=0.0816$, $XTCS=0.288$ using reduced-order observer).

Fig. 6 observes the variations of torque of the rotating mechanical system of the T-G set for cases-1, 2 and 3. It is noted that, with case-1, amplitude of oscillations is maximum. Its SSO is damped in a longer time. Also it illustrates that, with case-3, amplitude of oscillations is minimum. Its SSO is damped in an earlier time. It is observed that, Using the proposed method the torque deviation of case-3 have a better dynamic response in comparing with the other cases.



References

- 1 Xiao H, Xie S (2012) Transformerless split-inductor neutral point clamped three-level PV grid-connected inverter, IEEE Trans. Power Electron., 27(4):1799-1808.
- 2 Larsen, E.V, Sanchez-Gasca, J. J. and Chow J. H., "Concepts for Design of FACTS Controllers to Damp Power Swings", IEEE Transactions on Power Systems, Vol. 10, No.2., Summer Meeting, San Francisco, 1995, pp. 948-956.
- 3 Pilotto, L. A. S., Bianco, A., Long, F. W. and Edris, A. A., "Impact of TCSC Control Methodologies on Subsynchronous Oscillations", IEEE Transactions on Power Delivery, Vol. 18, No.1, 2003, pp 243-252.
- 4 Kakimoto N, Phongphanphaneen A (2003) "Subsynchronous resonance damping control of thyristor-controlled series capacitor", IEEE Transactions on Power Delivery, 18(3):1051-1059.
- 5 P.Kundur, Power System Control and Stability, McGraw-HILL, Inc., New York, 1993.
- 6 S. Helbing and G. Karady, "Investigations of an advanced form of Series Compensation", IEEE Trans. Power Delivery, Vol. 9, April 1994, pp. 939-947.
- 7 Harb AM, Widyan MS. Modern nonlinear theory as applied to SSR of the IEEE second benchmark model. In: IEEE Bologna Power

Fig.6. variation of torque of exciter – generator, generator-low pressure turbine and low pressure – high pressure turbine in the T-G set for cases-1, 2 and 3.

Conclusion

In this paper, Linear Observer Method for studying the SSR damping characteristics with TCSC is investigated. It is tried to stable torsional modes of turbine – generator (T-G) units and improve their damping by using optimal linear control, with an operative overview. The proposed method is applied to the IEEE Second Benchmark system for SSR studies and the results are verified based on comparison with those obtained from eigenvalue studies and digital computer simulation by MATLAB.

Analysis reveals that the proposed technique gives good results and the peak deviations of SSO and inadvertent interchange is reduced by using this method with a practical viewpoint.

It can be concluded that using TCSC with reduced order observer controller will mitigate SSO suitably. Also this method can keep accuracy in the results obtained from simulations in an appropriate level. In the other hand, by presented estimation method, it is obtained better damping and satisfactory accuracy for SSO.

- Tech 2003 Conference, Bologna-Italy, June, 2003.
- 8 Harb AM, Widyan MS. Chaos and bifurcation control of SSR in the IEEE second benchmark model. *Chaos, Solitons and Fractals*, vol. 21, pp. 537-552. Dec 2004.
- 9 Mozaffari Legha M, (2011) Determination of exhaustion and junction of in distribution network and its loss maximum, due to geographical condition, MS.c Thesis. Islamic Azad University, Saveh Branch, Markazi Province, Iran.
- 10 Mahdi Mozaffari Legha Majid Gandomkar (2012) "Reconfiguration of MV network for balancing and reducing losses to by CYMEDIST software in Khorramabad", 16th Electric Power Distribution Conference (EPDC16), p. 25-32.
- 11 Mahdi Mozaffari Legha, Hassan Javaheri, Mohammad Mozaffari Legha (2013) Optimal Conductor Selection in Radial Distribution Systems for Productivity Improvement Using Genetic Algorithm "Iraqi Journal for Electrical and Electronic Engineering (IJEEE), 9(1):29-36.
- 12 Panda AK, Suresh Y (2012) Research on cascade multilevel inverter with single DC source by using three-phase transformers", *Electrical Power and Energy System*, 40:9-20.
- 13 Cortés P, Wilson A, Kouro S, Rodriguez J, Abu-Rub H (2011) Model Predictive Control of Multilevel Cascaded H-Bridge Inverters" *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron.*, 57(8):2691 -2699.
- 14 Kerekes T, Liserre M, Teodorescu R, Klumpner C, Sumner M (2009) Evaluation of three-phase transformerless photovoltaic inverter topologies," *IEEE Trans. Power Electron.*, 24(9):2202-2211.
- 21 Rahim NA, Chaniago K, Selvaraj J (2011) "Single-Phase Seven-Level Grid-Connected Inverter for Photovoltaic System", *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron.*, 58(6):2435-2443.
- 15 Kerekes T, Teodorescu R, Rodríguez P, Vázquez G, Aldabas E (2011) "A New High-Efficiency Single-Phase Transformerless PV Inverter Topology," *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics*, 58(1):184-191.
- 16 Mahdi Mozaffari Legha, Mohammad Mohammadi (2014) Aging Analysis and Reconductoring of Overhead Conductors for Radial Distribution Systems Using Genetic Algorithm" *Journal of Electrical Engineering & Technology (JEET)*.
- 17 Kerekes T, Teodorescu R, Rodriguez P, Vázquez G, Aldabas E (2011) "A new high-efficiency single-phase transformerless PV inverter topology", *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron.*, 58(1):184-191.
- 18 Rouhollah Eskandari, Dr Mahdi Mozaffari Legha, Mohammad Mozaffari Legha (2016) "A New Technique for Discrimination between Inrush Current and Fault Current in a Power Transformers", *Journal of Multidisciplinary Engineering Science Studies (JMESS)*, 2(11).
- 19 Rosas-Caro JC, Ramirez JM, Peng FZ, Valderrabano A, (2010) A DC-DC multilevel boost converter", *IET Power Electron.*, 3(1):129-137.
- 20 Mahdi Mozaffari Legha, Rouhollah Abdollahzadeh Sangrood, Ardan Zargar Raeiszadeh, Mohammad Mozaffari Legha (2013) Conductor size selection in planning of radial distribution systems for productivity improvement using imperialist competitive algorithm, *International Journal on Technical and Physical Problems of Engineering (IJTPE)*, 15, 5(2):65-69.